1	MELINDA HAAG (CABN 132612) United States Attorney
2	J. DOUGLAS WILSON (DCBN 412811) Chief, Criminal Division
4	ANDREW M. SCOBLE (CABN 124940) Assistant United States Attorney
5	450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055
6	San Francisco, California 94102-3495 Telephone: (415) 436-7249
7	FAX: (415) 436-7234 andrew.scoble@usdoj.gov
8	Attorneys for United States of America
9	
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
12	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
13	LINITED STATES OF AMEDICA CASE NO. OD 12 0020 DS
14	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) CASE NO. CR 12-0628 RS) STEIDLY A THOM AND EDGOGEDI ORDER
15	Plaintiff,) STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER) CONTINUING DATE OF HEARING AND
16	v.) EXCLUDING TIME)
17	CURTIS LEE JOHNSON, JR.,
18	Defendant.)
19	STIPULATION
20	With the agreement of the parties, and with the consent of the defendant, the Court enters this
21	order continuing the date of the next scheduled hearing in this case, from February 18, 2014 at 2:30 p.m.
22	to March 18, 2014 at 2:30 p.m., and excludes the period from February 18, 2014 to March 18, 2014
23	from the otherwise applicable calculation under the Speedy Trial Act.
24	The parties represent to the Court that defense counsel is involved in a lengthy preliminary
25	hearing in state court; that it appears that the hearing may continue for several weeks further; that
26	defense counsel has been ordered to be present in state court for that continuing preliminary hearing on
27	February 18, 2014 (the date of the parties' next scheduled appearance in the above-entitled case); that
28	defense counsel is thus unable to prepare effectively for further proceedings in the above-entitled case;
	STIP AND [PROPOSED] ORDER 1 CR 12-0628 RS

Case 3:12-cr-00628-RS Document 54 Filed 02/13/14 Page 2 of 3

and that failure to grant the requested continuance would unreasonably deny defense counsel the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence, and would unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel. Defense counsel further represents that the defendant agrees to the requested exclusion of time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv) to provide the reasonable time necessary for his counsel's effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence, and for continuity of counsel.

The parties further represent to the Court that, while they have received a draft of the pre-plea Criminal History Only Pre-Sentence Report, there appears to be at least one issue in that report that must be discussed and resolved with the Probation Officer before the parties can effectively complete plea negotiations.

Based on the above, the parties jointly request that the Court find that the ends of justice served by excluding from the otherwise applicable Speedy Trial Act calculation the period from February 18, 2014 through and including March 18, 2014 outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial, and that failure to grant the requested continuance would unreasonably deny defense counsel the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence, and would unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A) & (B)(iv).

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

19

20

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

DATED: February 12, 2014

/S/

21 22

23

DATED: February 12, 2014

ASSISTANT U.S. ATTORNEY

COUNSEL FOR THE DEFENDANT

25

24

26

27 28 [PROPOSED] ORDER EXCLUDING TIME

Based upon the above stipulation, and for good cause, THE COURT FINDS THAT the ends of justice served by granting a continuance from February 18, 2014 through and including March 18, 2014 STIP AND [PROPOSED] ORDER 2

CR 12-0628 RS

Case 3:12-cr-00628-RS Document 54 Filed 02/13/14 Page 3 of 3

outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. THE COURT FURTHER FINDS THAT failure to grant the requested continuance would unreasonably deny defense counsel the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence, and would unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, within the meaning of 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A) & (B)(iv).Accordingly, THE COURT ORDERS THAT: 1. The status hearing in this case (currently scheduled for February 18, 2014) is continued to March 18, 2014 at 2:30 p.m. 2. The period from February 18, 2014 through and including March 18, 2014 is excluded from the otherwise applicable Speedy Trial Act computation, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A) & (B)(iv). IT IS SO ORDERED. DATED: 2/13/14 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE